African Scientist Vol. 17, No. 2 June 30, 2016 Printed in Nigeria 1595-6881/2016 \$10.00 + 0.00 © 2016 Nigerian Society for Experimental Biology http://www.niseb.org/afs

AFS 2016026/17204

In vitro cytotoxic effect of aqueous and hydroethanolic *leaf extracts of Stachytarpheta cayennensis* on the human pancreatic cell line (ASPC-1)

O.A. Adebesin*, O. O. Famakinwa and J. Okpuzor

Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

Tel: +234(0)8023919330; +234(0)8086779612; +234(0)8023065999 tera2k@gmail.com; nikkyfunmilove@yahoo.com; joyokpuzor@yahoo.com *Author for correspondence

(Received May 25, 2015; Accepted in revised form May 26, 2016)

ABSTRACT: There is an urgent need for agents with improved efficacy against pancreatic cancer, as only 20% of pancreatic cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy respond to treatment. This study investigated the antiproliferative attributes of hydroethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* on human pancreatic carcinoma cell lines (AsPC-1). Human pancreatic (AsPC-1) cells were seeded $(1.07 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ into 96 well microtitre plate, allowed to stabilize, then exposed for 24hrs to graded (0 – 10000 µg ml⁻¹) concentrations of crude aqueous or hydroethanolic (60%) extract of pulverised air dried leaves. There after cytotoxicity was evaluated using the 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Crude aqueous and hydroethanolic leaf extracts of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* displayed significantly (p < 0.05) increased cell cytotoxicity (CC) in a dose-dependent manner and the CC₅₀ values were 1190 µg ml⁻¹ (R² = 0.92) and 2537 µg ml⁻¹(R² = 0.96) respectively. These results showed the possible application of aqueous as well as hydroethanolic leaf extracts of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* leaves as a source of bioactive compounds, potent as antiproliferative and cytotoxic agents that could be utilized pharmaceutically.

Keywords: Medicinal plant, AsPC-1, Cytotoxicity, MTT.

Introduction

Medicinal plants play a crucial role in health care needs of people around the world especially in developing countries where it was estimated that between 60-90% of their populations use traditional and botanical medicines almost exclusively and consider them to be a normal part of primary healthcare (WHO, 2002; Rao *et al.*, 2004; Bekalo *et al.*, 2009). The application of ethnobotany, ethnopharmacology and complimentary alternative medicine in combating current health issues like Cancer is economical, sustainable and beneficial (Cochrane *et al.*, 2008). Cancer is one of the major human diseases which causes considerable suffering and economic loss worldwide. Numerous studies have evaluated the biological activities of various phytochemicals produced by plants, particularly the anti-proliferative and cell cycle regulatory effects, in relation to cancer prevention (Chu *et al.*, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2005; Cheng *et al.*, 2011).

Pancreatic cancer is the only major cancer with a five-year relative survival rate in the single digits and unlike many other cancers, the survival rate for the disease has not improved substantially since passage of the *National Cancer Act* over 40 years ago in the United State (American Cancer Society, 2013). Of all the racial/ethnic groups in the United States, African-Americans have the highest incidence rate of pancreatic cancer, between 32 percent and 66 percent higher than other groups (SEER, 2009). A recent report issued by the

Pancreatic Cancer Action Network, indicated that by 2020, pancreatic cancer is expected to become the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States (Matrisian *et al.*, 2012). The principal methods of cancer treatment include radiotherapy, surgery and chemotherapy (Fan *et al.*, 1998; Martinsson *et al.*, 2001; American Cancer Society, 2013). Chemotherapeutics enhance serious side effects or long term complication (Jonsson *et al.*, 1997; Martinsson *et al.*, 2001; American Cancer Society, 2013).

There are currently no curative treatments for pancreatic cancer, hence, research in the area of pancreatic cancer treatment is desperately needed (American Cancer Society, 2013). Plants are the chief source of natural products that are used in medicine, hence the application of ethnopharmacology and complimentary alternative medicine in combating current health issues (Zhang *et al.*, 2005; Tan *et al.*, 2012). Ethnobotanically, *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* is used in traditional medicine for its analgesic, antacid, anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, antispasmodic, anti-ulcerogenic, diuretic, gastroprotective, hepatoprotective, hypoglycemic, hypotensive, diabetes, sedative and tonic properties, and for the management of oedema (Schwontkowski, 1993; Burkil, 1966; Comerford 1996; Melita *et al.*, 1996; Schapoval *et al.*, 1998; Mesia-Vela *et al.*, 2004; Akanmu *et al.*, 2005; Penido *et al.*, 2006; Siju *et al.*, 2012).

A number of researchers have verified the importance of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* and its high ethnopharmacological values; but little or none has stated or verified its antiproliferative activities and currently, only 20% of pancreatic cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy respond to treatment (Shah *et al.*, 2007). Clearly, there is an urgentneed for agents with improved efficacy against pancreatic cancer. This study therefore investigated the antiproliferative effects of hydroethanolic and aqueous extract of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* on human pancreatic carcinoma cell lines (AsPC-1).

Materials and Methods

Plant collection and preparation of extracts

Fresh plants of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* (Verbenaceae) were collected in University of Lagos, Akoka (6.51667N/3.38611E). Plants was identified and authenticated (LUH6018) at the University of Lagos Herbarium, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria.

The leaves of the plant samples were hand-picked, washed, dried in shade and pulverized to coarse powder in a mechanical grinder. The ethanol and water extracts were prepared by soaking 93.65 g and 120 g of dried powdered plants 1000 in mL of 60 % ethanol solution prepared with distilled water and 100 ml of distilled waterrespectively, in a mechanical shaker at room temperature for 48 hrs. The crude extracts were filtered using filter paper and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 40 ± 2 °C using a rotary evaporator. The concentrated extract was stored in a sealed container at 4 °C until use. The percentage yield of ethanol and aqueous extracts were found to be 0.67 % and 0.75 % respectively.

The ethanol / aqueous extract stock solution (100 mg/ml) was prepared by dissolving extract in 30ul sterile DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) (Saetung *et al.*, 2005), the volume was made up to 10ml with PBS (phosphate buffered saline) and 0.22 micron filter sterilized. The filterates where stored at 4 °C until use.

Cell Culture

The human pancreatic carcinoma (AsPC-1) cell line used in this study,was obtained from Cell line Services (Germany). This cell line was originally obtained from a patient with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. The cells were grown as a monolayer in RPMI 1640 containing, glutamine, 10 % fetal bovine serum (inactivated at 55 °C for 30 min), penicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹), streptomycin (100 μ g/ml) and 0.2 mM sodium pyruvate. The cells were incubated to confluence (80-90 %) in a humidified incubator with 5 % CO₂ (95 % air) in polystyrene culture flasks at 37°C.

MTT Cell Viability Assay

Confluent monolayer cells were washed thrice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), trypsinized and suspended in fresh medium. Harvested cells were seeded in a flat 96-well plate (Becton-Dickinson, US) at a concentration of 1.07×10^5 cells/well and allowed to stabilize. The cultured cells were then treated in triplicate with *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* extracts at concentrations between 0 and 10000 µg ml⁻¹ for 24 hours, control wells had cells and medium only while blank wells contained 100 µl of medium only. After 24 hours, 10 µl of 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution (Science Cell, USA) was added to all wells and the plates were further incubated for another 4hrs. Lastly, 100 µl of DMSO was added to all wells to dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm (reference wavelength: 690 nm). Cell viability was calculated using the following formula:

O. A. Adebesin et al.

Percentage of cell viability= $\frac{opticaldensity of treated cells}{opticaldensity of control cells} * 100\%$ % Cytotoxicity = 1- (% Viability)

A dose-response curve was plotted and the half maximal cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) was determined.

Statistical Analysis

All the assays were performed in triplicates. Data expressed as mean \pm SD and analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey Post Test, using GraphPad Prism computer software package (GraphPad Prism Inc. U.S.A). Differences at P<0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Both extracts inhibited cell proliferation (Fig.1) in a dose dependent manner with CC_{50} values of 1190 µgml⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.92$) and 2537 µgml⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.96$) for Crude aqueous and hydroethanolic leaf extracts respectively. Concentrations above 300.00 µgml⁻¹ of crude aqueous extract and 1200.00 µgml⁻¹ of crude hydroethanolic extract were significantly cytotoxic to human pancreatic carcinoma (AsPC-1) cells.



Discussion

In sub-Saharan Africa, little is known about the prevalence of pancreatic cancermainly because the condition is mostly underreported as well as the difficulty encountered in making the diagnosis (Alatise *et al.*, 2009).

Abdulkareem *et al.* (2009) found that pancreatic cancer is the fourth most common gastrointestinal cancer seen in Lagos, Nigeria.

The differences in the toxicity of different extracts could be attributed to the presence of the active principle(s) or compound(s) in the plant material or even different active principle(s) that may be influenced by several factors such as age of plant, method of extraction and type of extracting solvent (Caamal-Fuentesa *et al.*, 2011). The greater effectiveness of aqueous extract as compared with the ethanol extract may be due to differences in constituent of the bioactive constituent(s). The aqueous and hydroethanolic extracts of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* possess varying levels of anticancer activity *in vitro*. This was evident by the concentration dependent manner of reduction in the final number of cancer cells as a consequence of treatment. Aqueous extract of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* (CC_{50} :1190 µgml⁻¹) appeared more potent than ethanol extract ($CC_{50}:2537 \mugml^{-1}$), suggesting that the potency of the aqueous extract may be as a result of the ability of this solvent to isolate more active ingredient compared to the hydroethanolic extract from the plant.

Plant-derived products contain a great diversity of phytochemicals such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, tannins, lignin, and other small compounds (Cowan, 1999). Presence of alkaloids, iridoids, and phenylpropanoidshas been indicated via chemical studies of the genus Stachytarpheta (Alice *et al.*, 1991; Schapoval *et al.*, 1998; Edeoga *et al.*, 2005; Sahoo *et al.*, 2014). These biologically active compounds possess numerous beneficial health-related effects, and possibly new sources of new drugs for infectious diseases (Meenakshi *et al.*, 2001). This plant is interesting for further phytochemical studies to isolate cytotoxic compounds.

Conclusion

These results indicated the possible application of aqueous as well as hydroethanolic leaf extracts of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* leaves as a source of bioactive compounds, potent as antiproliferative and cytotoxic agents that could be utilized pharmaceutically.

References

- Abdulkareem FB, Faduyile FA, Daramola AO, Rotimi O, Banjo AA, Elesha SO, Anunobi CC, Akinde OR, Abudu EK: Malignant gastrointestinal tumours in south western Nigeria: a histopathologic analysis of 713 cases. West Afr. J. Med. 28: 173-176. 2009.
- Akanmu MA, Olwyiwola G, Ukponmwan OE, Honda K: Toxicity and Sleep-Wake EEG Analysis of *Stachytarphetacayennensis* (Verbenaceae) in Rodents. *Afr.* J.Tradit. Complement Altern. Med. 2: 222-232. 2005.
- Alatise O I, Ndububa DA, Ojo OS, Agbakwuru EA, Adekanle O, Arowolo OA: Pancreatic Cancer In Nigeria: Past, Present and Future. Nig J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2: 61-74. 2009.
- Alice, CB, Vargas, VM, Silva, GA, Siqueria, NC, Schapoval, EE, Gleeve, J: Screening of plants used in south Brazilian folk medicine. J Ethnopharmacol 35: 165–171. 1991.
- American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures. Atlanta: American Cancer Society. 2013.
- Bekalo TH,Woodmatas SD, Woldemariam ZA: An ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used by local people in the lowlands of Konta Special Woreda, Southern nations, nationalities and peoples regional state, Ethiopia. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 5:26. 2009. DOI: 10.1186/1746-4269-5-26.
- Burkill HM: *Stachytarpheta cayennensis*: The useful plants of West Africa. Royal Botanical Gradens, Keid; pp. 268-269. 2009.
- Caamal-Fuentesa E, Torres-Tapiaa LW,Simá-Polancob P,Peraza-Sáncheza SR, Moo-Pucc R: Screening of plants used in Mayan traditional medicine to treat cancer-like symptoms. J Ethnopharmacol 135: 719–724. 2011.
- Cheng H, Li S, Fan Y, Gao X, Hao M, Wang J, Zhang X, Tai G, Zhou Y: Comparative study of the antiproliferative effects of ginseng polysaccharides on HT-29 human colon cancer cells. Med Oncol 28: 175-181. 2011.
- Chu YF, Sun J, Wu X, Liu RH: Antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of common vegetables. J Agric Food Chem 50: 6910-6916. 2002.
- Cochrane CB, Nair PKR, Melnick1 SJ, Resek AP, Ramachandran C: Anticancer Effects of *Annonaglabra* Plant Extracts in Human Leukemia Cell Lines. Anticancer Res 28: 965-972. 2008.
- Comerford SC: Medicinal plants of two Mayan healers from Sandres, Peters, Guatemala. N. Bot. 50: 327-336. 1996.
- Cowan MM: Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 12: 564-582. 1999.
- Edeoga HO, Okwu DE, Mbaebie BO: Phytochemical constituents of some Nigerian medicinal plants. Afr J Biotechnol 4(7): 685-688. 2005.

- Fan W, Johnson KR, Miller MC: In vitro evaluation of combination Chemotherapy against human tumour cells. Oncol Rep. 5 (5): 1035-1042. 1998.
- Jonsson E, Fridorg H, Csoka K, Dhar S, Sundstrom C: Cytotoxic activity of topotecan in human tumor cell lines and primary cultures of human tumor cells from patients. Br J Cancer. 76 (2):211-219. 1997.
- Martinsson P, Liminga G, Dhar S, de la Torre M, Lukinius A: Temporal effects of the novel antitumourpyridylcyanoguanidine (CHS 828) on human lymphoma cells. Eur J Cancer. 260-267. 2001.
- Matrisian LM, Aizenberg R, Rosenzweig A: The alarming rise of pancreatic cancer deaths in the United States: why we need to stem the tide today. 2001. Manhattan Beach, CA, Pancreatic Cancer Action Network. (www.pancan.org).
- Melita RS, Castro O: Pharmacological and chemical evaluation of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* (Verbenaceae). Rev. Biol. Trop. 44(2A): 353-359. 1996.
- Mesia-Vela S, Souccar C, Lima-Lindman MT, Lapa AJ: Pharmacological study of *Stachytarpheta cayennesis* (Vahl) in rodents. Phytomedicine. 11 (7-8): 616-624. 2004.
- Penido C, Costa KA, Futuro DO, Paiva SK, Kaplan MA, Fisgueiredo MR, Henriques MG: Antiinflammatory and antiulcerogenic properties of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* (L.C. Rich) Vahl. J Ethnopharmacol. 104 (1-2): 225-233. 2006.
- Rao MR, Palada MC, Becker BN: Medicinal and aromatic plants in Agroforestry systems. Agroforest Syst. 61: 107-122. 2004.
- Sahoo SR, Dash RR,Bhatnagar S: Phytochemical screening and bioevaluation of medicinal plant Stachytarphetaindica (L.) Vahl. Pharmacol Toxicol Res. 1(2): 1-5. 2014.
- Schapoval EE, Vargas MR, Chaves CG, Bridi R, Zuanazzi JA, Henriques AT: Antiinflammatory and antinociceptive activities of extracts and isolated compounds from *Stachytarpheta cayennensis*. J Ethnopharmacol. 60 (1): 53-59. 1998.
- Schwontkowski D: Herbs of the Amazon- Traditional and common uses. Science Student Brain Trust Publishing, New York. p.1.
- SEER: Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program, National Cancer Institute."Age-Adjusted SEER Incidence Rates and Trends for the Top 15 Cancer Sites by Race/Ethnicity, Both Sexes" (http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2009_pops09/results_merged/topic_inc_trends.pdf).
- Shah AN, Summy JM, Zhang J, Park SI, Parikh NU, Gallick GE: Development and characterization of gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic tumor cells. Ann surgoncol. 14: 3629-3637. 2007
- Siju EN, Rajalekshmi GR, Saritha KN, Kuttoor DS, Anusha KV, Fairuza MKC: Anti inflammatory activity of the whole plant of *Stachytarpheta cayennensis*. Int J Pharm. Life Sci 3 (6): 1777-1779. 2012.
- Suaeyun R, Kinouchi T, Arimochi H, Vinitketkumnuen U, Ohnishi Y: Inhibitory effects of lemon grass (Cymbopogon citrates Stapf) on formation of azoxymethane-induced DNA adducts and aberrant crypt foci in the rat colon. Carcinogenesis 18(5): 949-955. 1997.
- Tan GHC, Wong KM, Pearle-Wong GQ, Yeo SL, Yeap SK, Yiap BC, Chong HZ: In Vitro Cytotoxic and Antiproliferative Effects of Portulaca oleracea Methanol Extract on Breast, Cervical, Colon and Nasopharyngeal Cancerous Cell Lines. Sains Malays 42(7): 927–935. 2013.
- World Health Organisation (WHO): Traditional Medicine Growing Needs and Potential WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines, No. 002, May, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2002.
- Zhang M, Chen H, Huang J, Li Z, Zhu C, Zhang S: Effects of *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharide on human hepatoma QGY7703 cells: Inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis. Life Sci 76: 2115-2124. 2005.